



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/637,660	08/11/2003	Shinichi Takahashi	50195-519	4069
20277	7590	07/31/2008		
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP			EXAMINER	
600 13TH STREET, N.W.			CHU, HELEN OK	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		07/31/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/637,660	Applicant(s) TAKAHASHI, SHINICHI
	Examiner Helen O. Chu	Art Unit 1795

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Helen O. Chu. (3) _____.

(2) Bernard Codd. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 July 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Levy et al. (U.S. 4,839,247) and Ito et al. (U.S. 6,926,982).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During the telephonic interview, proposed amendments were discussed, however, no agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Helen O Chu/
Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Patrick Ryan/